

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,315	04/12/2004	Bryan Leasure	1001.1749101	2606
28075 75	590 09/23/2005		EXAM	INER
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC			ROSENZWEIG, JASON	
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	S, MN 55403-2420		3762	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/822,315	LEASURE, BRYAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason E. Rosenzweig	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 April 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	,					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b) Objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a		received				
See the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified copies flot?	·				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	a. 🗖	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/822,315

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-27, are drawn to an intravascular pump, classified in class 623, subclass 3.17.
 - II. Claims 27-32, are drawn to a method for installing a pump intravascularly, classified in class 623, subclass 3.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as installing a LVAD into the left ventricle chamber.
- 3. If invention I is chosen above a further election of species is required as this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I- Coronary assist pump 100 as depicted in figures 1,2, and 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,315

Art Unit: 3762

Species III- Coronary assist pump 300 as depicted in figure 6.

Species II- Coronary assist pump 200 as depicted in figures 4, and 5.

Species IV- Coronary assist pump 400 as depicted in figure 7.

Species V- Coronary assist pump 500 as depicted in figure 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-5, and 17-26 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/822,315 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason E. Rosenzweig whose telephone number is (571)272-5559. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571)272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason Rosenzweig Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3762
